

MARC Y. LAZO, SBN: 215998
K&L LAW GROUP, P.C.
2646 Dupont Drive, Suite 60340
Irvine, CA 92612
Phone No.: (949) 216-4000
Fax No.: (800) 596-0370

Attorneys for Plaintiff K&L LAW GROUP, P.C.,

FILED & ENTERED

SEP 10 2021

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY jle DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SANTA ANA DIVISION

In re:)	Case No: 8:21-BK-10768-MW
Steve Yuk Kin Woo,)	Chapter 7
Debtors.)	Adversary Case No.: 8:21-ap-01043-MW
_____)	
K&L Law Group, P.C.,)	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
Plaintiff)	STEVE YUK KIN WOO’S MOTION
v.)	TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S
Steve Yuk Kin Woo,)	ADVERSARY COMPLAINT
Defendant.)	<u>Hearing:</u>
_____)	Date: September 1, 2021
)	Time: 9:00 A.M.
)	Place: Courtroom 6C

On August 31, 2021, the Honorable Mark S. Wallace issued a tentative ruling regarding Defendant Steve Yuk Kin Woo’s Motion to Dismiss the Adversary Proceeding of Plaintiff, K&L Law Group, P.C. (“K&L”) (the “Motion”). Oral argument was heard on September 1, 2021 at 9:00 a.m.. Wendy Miele appeared via CourtCall on behalf of K&L, and Mr. Woo, represented by Gary Polston, appeared in-person.

//

1 The Court determined that it clearly possesses jurisdiction to hear and determine
2 adversary proceedings to deny the debtor's discharge or except debt from discharge
3 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(J) (objections to discharges). Additionally, the case is not moot.
4 Scheduling the debt does not render the action moot.


5 Many of the issues raised by Defendant's counsel in oral argument were more
6 appropriate for a summary judgment motion than a motion to dismiss based on the
7 allegations in the Complaint.

8 Accordingly, the Motion is denied with prejudice. Defendant's Answer is due
9 September 30, 2021.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 ###
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Date: September 10, 2021
25
26
27
28


Mark S. Wallace
United States Bankruptcy Judge